

9000263

HHE UNITED SHAMES OF AMERICE

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS; SHALL COME;

Northrup King Co.

Telhereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLI-CANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen YEARS FROM THE DATE OF THIS GRANT, SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF THE REQUIRED FEES AND PERIODIC REPLENISHMENT OF VIABLE BASIC SEED OF THE VARIETY IN A PUBLIC REPOSITORY AS PROVIDED BY ${
m LAW}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$, the right to ex-CLUDE OTHERS FROM SELLING THE VARIETY, OR OFFERING IT FOR SALE, OR REPRODUCING IT. OR IMPORTING IT, OR EXPORTING IT, OR USING IT IN PRODUCING A HYBRID OR DIFFERENT RIETY THEREFROM, TO THE EXTENT PROVIDED BY THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT AT. 15+2, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOYBEAN

'S83-30'

In Testimony Mincrost, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C.

31st day of the year of our Lord one thousand nine

hundred and ninety-two.

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service Hward Madigan

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #081-0055), Washington, 20250.

U.S. DEPARTMEN AGRICULTURAL M APPLICATION FOR PLANT VAR	E G	Application is required in order to determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until			
	s on reverse)	· ·	Ph_ab_c		ertificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2.	TEMPORARY DESIG		VARIETY NAME
Northrup King Co.	X8982		S83-30		
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5.	PHONE (Include are	a code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P. O. Box 959	•			P	VPO NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55440			612-593-73	133	000007
			012 000 70		9000263
					F Date
	•	•			Sept. 41990
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME				Time
Glycine max	Legumin	osae			G MA.M. P.M.
8 CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)		9 DATE	OF DETERMINATIO		F Filing and Examination Fee:
Soybean	1				E \$2150.
			rch, 1987		S Day
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF	ORGANIZATION (Corpora	tion, partners	hip, association, etc.,		B Sept 4, 1990
Corporation		•			C Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION		12. DATE C	F INCORPORATION		£ \$250.
Delaware			1976		V Date E
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF A	<u> </u>				5 Feb. 24, 1992
Northrup King Co. P. O. Box 959 Minneapolis, MN 55440 14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTE. a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety. b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety. d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety. e. X Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ow. f. X Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date. g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable. 15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY. Protection Act.) YES (If "YES." answer items 16 and. 16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITE. NUMBER OF GENERATIONS? YES X NO. 18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF T. YES (If "YES." through Plant Variety Protection Act. NO.	nership. Seed Sample mailed to to "Treasurer of the UBE SOLD BY VARIETY NA 17 below) D AS TO 17. IF	o Plant Varie inited States. ME ONLY AS NO (# "NO." s "YES" TO ITE	ly Protection Office A CLASS OF CERTIF kip to item 18 below) M 16, WHICH CLASS	IED SEED? (See se	ON BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
<u>[∆]</u> NO					
19 HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE,	OR MARKETED IN THE L	J.S. OR OTHE	R COUNTRIES?		
YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates) X NO					
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of bas request in accordance with such regulations as may be	sic seeds of this varie applicable.	ety will be f	urnished with th	e application a	nd will be replenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is er	ititled to protection u	inder the pi	ovisions of section	n 42 of the Plai	that the variety is distinct, at Variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation	herein can jeopardi	ze protectio	n and result in p	enalties.	•
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPAC	ITY OR TITLE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DATE
Kober W. Romes		Vice P	resident,	Research	August 28, 1990
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPAC	ITY OR TITLE	······		DATE

FORM CSSD-470 (5-89) Edition of FORM LS-470, 3-85, is obsolete.

EXHIBIT A

Origin and Breeding History of the Variety

The soybean variety 'S83-30' is derived from the cross 'Braxton' x 'Coker 368' which was made in 1978 by the Coker (now Northrup King) soybean breeding staff at Hartsville, SC. The F1 was grown in the field at Hartsville in 1979. The F2 and F3 generations were advanced by single seed descent in the greenhouse during the winter of 1979-80. The F4 was grown in the field at Hartsville, in 1980 and 1023 single plants were harvested and threshed individually. A sample of seed from each plant was tested for resistance to Race 3 of cyst nematode (Heterodera glycines) in the greenhouse. remaining seed of 146 selections which were resistant was grown in an F5 progeny row in 1981. One of these rows, numbered 4843, was selected based on agronomic appearance and cyst resistance for a preliminary yield trial in 1982. Resistance to Race 3 of cyst nematode was confirmed. The line was numbered 82-622 for preliminary yield testing, was designated X8982 for wide scale testing prior to release, and was eventually given the variety name, S83-30. It has been tested in Coker-Northrup King trials at several southeast and mid-south locations from 1982 to 1989, in U.S. Regional Soybean Trials for 1985 to 1988, and in various state trials in 1988 and 1989 and found to yield well in comparison to other Maturity Group VIII cultivars. Descriptive traits including buff hilum, white flowers, grey pubescence, and tan pods have been identified and confirmed. Resistance to Race 3 of cyst nematode, stem canker (<u>Diaporthe phaseolorum</u> var <u>caulivora</u>) and Southern Root Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognita) have been confirmed in U.S. Regional Trials.

In 1983, an initial increase of S83-30 was made from the remnant seed of the original F5 plant row. The line was maintained by growing small increase blocks (one acre or less) each year from 1984-1988. These blocks were carefully rogued for off-type plants. A few plants with tawny pubescence (less than 1 in 10,000) were found in the Breeder Seed Increase and removed. These were assumed to have resulted from a seed mixture.

S83-30 is a stable and uniform variety. In nine years of testing and 7 years of seed increase, no variants other than environmental variation normally found in any soybean variety have been observed.

Varietal purity will be maintained by use of progeny rows as needed.

EXHIBIT B

Novelty Statement for the Variety

Soybean variety S83-30 is most similar to Kirby, Coker 6738, and Coker 368. It can be differentiated from Kirby and Coker 6738 by pubescence and flower color; S83-30 has grey pubescence and white flowers while Kirby and Coker 6738 have tawny pubescence and purple flowers. It can be differentiated from Coker 368 on the basis of resistance to stem canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var caulivora). S83-30 is highly resistant to field infection, Coker 368 is only moderately resistant. In a 4 replication test at Marion, AR, in 1989, S83-30 had a stem canker score of 1.0 (no symptoms) vs Coker 368 with a score of 1.8 (some dead plants) with an LSD of 0.6.

EXHIBIT C
(Soybean)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	TEMPORARY DESIGNA	TION VARIETY NAME
Northrup King Co.	x8982	s83-30
	1	
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip	(Code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
P. O. Box 959		9000263
Minneapolis, MN 55440 Attention: R. W. Romig		7000203
Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provide	•	
1. SEED SHAPE:	\bigcirc	
2	וען ד	
□ 1 ² 1	1	
1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)		ttened (L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio = < 1.2) ttened (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.2)
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)	:	
1 1 = Yelfow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 =	Other (Specify)
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)		
2 1 = Dull ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('F	Nebsoy'; 'Gasoy 17')	
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)		
1 4 Grams per 100 seeds		
5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown	4 = Gray 5 = Imper	fect Black 6 = Black 7 = Other (Specify)
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)		
1 = Yellow 2 = Green		
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:		
2 1 = Low 2 = High		·
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:		
2 1 = Type A (SP1 ^a) 2 = Type B (SP1	b ₎	
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:		
1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgs		edons ('Woodworth'; 'Tracy')
10. LEAFLET SHAPE:		
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Ovai 3 = Ov	vate 4 * Other (Specif	(v)

11.	LEAF	ET SIZE:
	2	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')
12.	LEAF	COLOR:
	2	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')
13.	FLOW	ER COLOR:
	1	1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throat
14.	POD C	DLOR:
	1	1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black
15.	PLANT	PUBESCENCE COLOR:
	1	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)
16.	PLANT	TYPES:
	3	1 = Slender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')
17.	PLANT	HABIT:
	1	1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pelican')
18.	MATUR	NITY GROUP:
1	1	1 = 000
19.	DISEAS	E REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)
	<u> </u>	ERIAL DISEASES:
	2	Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli var. sojensis)
	0	Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)
	2	Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)
	لنسا	L DISEASES:
	0	Brown Spot (Septoria glycines)
		Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)
	0	Race 1 0 Race 2 0 Race 3 0 Race 4 0 Race 5 0 Other (Specify)
	0	Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)
		Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum var. manshurica)
	0	Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)
	0	Brown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatum)
		Stem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. caulivora)

FORM LMGS-470-57 (2-82)

19. DISEASE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant) (Continued)									
FUI	NGAL DISEASE	ES: (Continued)							
0	Pod and Ster	n Blight <i>(Diaporthe</i>	e phaseolorum var; sojae)	_					
0	Purple Seed	Stain <i>(Cercospora k</i>	·ikuchii)						
0	Rhizoctonia	Root Rot (Rhizoct	tonia solani)						
	Phytophthor	a Rot (Phytophtho	ra megasperma var. sojae)						
1	Race 1	1 Race 2	1 Race 3 1	Race 4 1 Race 5	1 Race 6 1 Race 7				
1	Race 8	1 Race 9	Other (Specify)						
VIR	AL DISEASES:				•				
0	Bud Blight (1	Fobacco Ringspot∧	/irus)						
0	Yellow Mosa	ic (Bean Yellow Mo	osaic Virus)						
0	Cowpea Mosa	aic (Cowpea Chloro	otic Virus)						
0	Pod Mottle (i	Bean Pod Mottle Vi	irus)						
0	Seed Mottle (Seed Mottle (Soybean Mosaic Virus)							
NEN	ATODE DISEA	ASES:							
	Soybean Cyst	t Nematode (Hetero	odera glycines)						
2	Race 1	Race 2	2 Race 3	Race 4 Other	Specify)				
2	Lance Nematode (Hopiciaimus Colombus)								
2	Southern Root Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne incognita)								
0	Northern Roc	ot Knot Nematode	(Meloidogyne Hapla)						
0	Peanut Root	Knot Nematode (M	leloidogyne arenaria)						
0	Reniform Ner	matode (Rotylench	ulus reniformis)						
	OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FO	RM (Specify):						
0 010704	0.00.04.05	2001/252 /5	0.1.7						
o. PHYSII			0 = Not Tested; 1 = Suscept	tible; 2 = Resistant)					
		on Calcareous Soi		·	•				
O Other (Specify)									
1. INSECT REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)									
	Potato Leaf Hopper (Empoasca fabae)								
٥	Other (Specify	/)							
2. INDICA	ATE WHICH VA	RIETY MOST CL	OSELY RESEMBLES THA	T SUBMITTED.	I				
·	RACTER		E OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY				
Plant Sh		Coker		Seed Coat Luster	Coker 368				
Leaf Sha		Coker		Seed Size	Coker 368				
Leaf Co		Coker		Seed Shape Seedling Pigmentation	Coker 368				
	 	Coker	308	o-coming riginitation	Coker 368				

FORM LMGS-470-57 (2-)

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS	PLANT LODGING SCORE	CM PLANT HEIGHT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE	NO.
	MATURITY			CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	G/100 SEEDS	SEEDS/ POD
Submitted	147	2.2	84			40.4	21.0	13.5	2-3
Kirby Name of Similar Variety	148	1.8	81			41.3	20.7	12.2	2-3

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

EXHIBIT E

Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership

Soybean variety S83-30 was developed by the Northrup King Co. soybean breeding staff from germplasm sources cited in Exhibit A of this application. Northrup King Co. believes that the variety is novel as defined in the Plant Variety Protection Act and, therefore, that Northrup King Co. is the sole owner of the variety.